UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 17-00533 EMC
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Jason Cliff)))
Defendant.	
time under the Speedy Trial Act fromfinds that the ends of justice served by the c	record on
Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	e would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
number of defendants, the natural novel questions of fact or law, that it	complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the ure of the prosecution, or the existence of is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for within the time limits established by this section. See
	te would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain e exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. §
	the would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity eduled case commitments, taking into account the S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance reasonable time necessary for effective diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	we would unreasonably deny the defendant the ve preparation, taking into account the exercise of due 7)(B)(iv).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	SUSAN Y. SOONG SUSAN Y. SOONG CLEEK U.S. DISTRICT OF CALLFORNIA
DATED: 11 28 17	Butte
	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Bear	Gair Connoll
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney